Voltammetric determination of size and particle concentration of Cd-based quantum dots
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A B S T R A C T

In this article, we present two novel methodologies, using a simple electrochemical approach, for the determination of the size and particle concentration of Cd-based Quantum Dots (QDs), nanoparticles widely used as photoluminescent labels in many bioanalytical applications. Such QDs were analyzed directly in organic medium and in water after derivatization with an amphiphilic polymer. Screen-printed carbon electrodes modified with a bismuth film were employed as the electrochemical platform. The herein proposed methodologies allow the reliable determination of very low nanoparticle concentrations. Detection limits achieved with the selected experimental conditions were of $\unit{3.0 \times 10^{12}}$ nanoparticles ml$^{-1}$ for CdSe QDs dispersed in organic medium and of $6.0 \times 10^{12}$ nanoparticles ml$^{-1}$ for water-solubilized CdSe/ZnS QDs (both with a core size of 3.26 nm). However, detection limits could be improved increasing the QDs sample volume or the voltammetric deposition time. Furthermore, the proposed methodologies allowed the determination of the CdSe QDs diameters. Results obtained were validated after comparison with standard spectroscopic approaches.

The electrochemical characterization of QDs, disclosed in this work, allows to perform a synthesis control with a simple, inexpensive and fast approach.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Quantum dots (QDs) are semiconductor photoluminescent nanoparticles, with a roughly spherical shape and a nanoparticle diameter between 1–12 nm [1]. At such small sizes, the nanoparticles behave differently than the macroscopic material due, specially, to quantum confinement effects [2]. Some of their novel and improved characteristics are high photoluminescence emission quantum yields, size-tunable emission profiles and narrow spectral bands [3]. Nowadays it is well recognized that the special optoelectronic properties exhibited by the QDs, as well as the toxicological and physicochemical behavior of these type of nanocrystals, are strongly affected by the size, nanoparticle concentration and nature of the nanoparticles.

Actual, the size-dependent properties of QDs make the characterization of these nanomaterials a mandatory post-synthesis stage before their use as labels in bioanalytical applications. QDs physico-chemical characteristics have been extensively studied by different techniques such as inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), photoluminescence, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), X-ray diffraction or electron microscopy [4–7]. These techniques have several advantages and disadvantages, but generally speaking it can be said that their use can be laborious, time consuming or requiring expensive instrumentation. Electrochemical techniques have been also used for the characterization of QDs, providing valuable information about the nanocrystals, such as energy bands [8] or surface defects [9]. For instance, cyclic voltammetry has been used to study the quantum confinement and band structure parameters in oleic acid stabilized CdTe dispersions, as a function of nanoparticle size [10]. Bard’s group have extensively studied other electronic and electrochemical properties of QDs using voltammetric techniques [11,12]. Recently, Amelia et al. [13] and Huang et al. [14] have evaluated the published literature about the electrochemical properties and applications of these semiconductor nanoparticles,
Therefore, Voltammetric measurements have been previously used for the quantification and stability studies of quantum dots [15,16]. For instance, Hynek et al. [17] have measured the electrochemical changes of aqueous solutions of QDs such as PbS, CdS, CdTe and CuS modified with different capping agents using several aging and storage conditions. They have been also used as detectors in biosensing applications based on the use of QDs as voltammetric [18,19] or electrochemiluminescence [20] labels. However, a direct and unequivocal relationship between the QDs size and their electrochemical properties has not been described yet. Therefore, the development of a simple and rapid method for the routine determination of QDs size and concentration using fast and simple electrochemical techniques may result highly valuable.

In this context, the main objective of this work was to develop a fast, inexpensive and easy method for the sensitive determination of the concentration and size of Cd-based QDs. This electrochemical characterization is based on the measurement of Cd(II) released from the QDs, after a nanoparticle acid attack. Screen-printed electrodes modified with a bismuth film were employed as the electrochemical platform due to its small size, low cost and disposable nature.

2. Materials

2.1. Apparatus and electrodes

UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded at room temperature on a Genesys 10S Thermo Scientific Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Germany). Fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary Eclipse (Agilent, Germany) fluorescence spectrophotometer using a fixed excitation wavelength of 350 nm with excitation and emission slit widths of 10 nm. All measurements were carried out using fluorescence-type quartz cuvettes.

Voltammetric measurements were performed with an Autolab PGSTAT 12 (Eco Chemie, The Netherlands) potentiostat and controlled by Autolab GPES 4.9. All measurements were carried out at room temperature. Screen-printed carbon electrodes (SPCEs, Ref. 110) were purchased from DropSens (Spain).

2.2. Reagents and solutions

All chemicals used for QD synthesis and surface modification were of analytical grade and used as received without further purification. The precursors used for the synthesis of the metal nanoparticles were selenium powder (99.99%), cadmium oxide (99.99%), trioctylphosphine (TOP, 90%), trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO, 99%) and anhydrous chloroform (≥99%), all of them purchased from Sigma Aldrich (Schnelldorf, Germany). Hexylphosphonic acid (HPA) was obtained from Alfa Aesar (Karlsruhe, Germany). Cadmium(II) acetate, sodium hydroxide, sulfuric acid (97%), acetic acid (100%) nitric acid (65%), perchloric acid (70%) and hydrochloric acid (37%) were purchased from Merck. Bismuth(III) standard, Tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane (Tris) and CdSe QDs (Lumidot CdSe) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ultrapure water obtained with a Millipore Direct Q5TM purification system from Millipore Ibérica S.A. (Madrid, Spain) was used throughout this work. All other reagents were of analytical grade. Working solutions of CdSe QDs were made by appropriate dilution of the QDs batch solution in CHCl₃. Working solutions of CdSe/ZnS QDs surface-modified for water solubility were made by appropriate dilutions of the concentrated batch solution in 0.1 M pH 7.4 Tris-HCl buffer.

2.3. CdSe and CdSe/ZnS Quantum dots synthesis

CdSe QDs were synthesized using CdO as precursor via the organometallic route described by Peng’s group [21] with slight modifications. Briefly, 1.23 mmol of CdO, 0.6 g of HPA and 17.5 g of TOP were loaded into a 250 mL glass three-necked flask. The mixture was heated up to 300–320 °C under argon flow for 15–20 min to allow the complete dissolution of CdO in HPA and TOP. After cooling the temperature of the solution down to 270 °C, 6 mL of a selenium stock solution prepared in an Ar-filled dry-box by dissolving 1.63 mmol of selenium powder in 7.5 mL of TOP was swiftly injected. After injection, nanocrystals were left to grow from 5 to 15 min at 250 °C depending on the desired core size and for hence fluorescence emission wavelength desired.

In the case of the “core-shell”-type CdSe/ZnS QDs, the CdSe core of the QDs was synthesized following the approach previously described. Once the growth process has reached the desirable CdSe core size, 7.5 mL of a zinc sulphide (ZnS/TOP) stock solution (prepared in Ar atmosphere by mixing 1.18 mmol of hexamethyldisilathiane, 8.34 mmol of diethyl zinc and 10.25 mL of TOP) was added slowly at 230 °C in order to allow the generation of the ZnS shell, and then it was cooled down to 100 °C for an hour. In order to remove excess of unreacted QDs precursors and reagents the reaction mixture was then centrifuged to precipitate the QDs dispersed. Then the supernatant was discarded and the precipitated QDs were redispersed in anhydrous chloroform and stored at room temperature in the dark before use.

2.4. Water solubilization of QDs

In order to make the nanoparticles hydrophilic to render them compatible with aqueous media, the QDs were surface-modified with an amphiphilic polymer (based on poly-maleic anhydride functional groups), following a procedure described elsewhere [22].

2.5. Spectroscopic characterization

The quality of the synthesized QDs was first evaluated by registering their VIS-UV absorbance and fluorescence spectra. Absorption spectrophotometric measurements can be used to estimate the size, concentration and extinction coefficient of the QDs, following the approach proposed by Peng and coworkers [23]. Additionally, the full width at half maximum (fwhm) of the fluorescence emission is a commonly employed parameter to estimate the size distribution of the nanoparticles (fwhm values lower than 35–40 nm is considered to indicate low QDs size-dispersion).

Four different CdSe QDs synthesis were carried out varying reaction times and precursors concentration in order to obtain QDs with different nanoparticle size. The core size, the particle concentration (both calculated according to Peng’s equations) and the maximum emission wavelength of the CdSe QDs obtained after the different syntheses are collected in Table 1. Fig.1A shows the fluorescence emission spectra for the different organic CdSe QDs syntheses. As can be seen, all organic CdSe QDs synthesis showed an intense fluorescence emission band and a fwhm of around 30 nm, indicating a very low dispersion of the QDs diameter sizes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Diameter, concentration and wavelength emission maximum of the synthesized CdSe QDs and CdSe/ZnS QDs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QDs</td>
<td>Diameter (nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe synthesis 1</td>
<td>2.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe synthesis 2</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe synthesis 3</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe synthesis 4</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdSe/ZnS</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fluorescence spectrum for a new synthesis of the water-solubilized CdSe/ZnS QDs is plotted in Fig. 1B. Again, the narrow emission spectra obtained indicates the low size-distribution of the NPs obtained. The core size, the particle concentration (both calculated according to Peng’s equations) and the maximum emission wavelength are also described in Table 1.

3. Methods

3.1. Dissolution of QDs and voltammetric measurement

3.1.1. CdSe QDs in organic medium

An acid attack of the QDs is necessary to release the cadmium ions that are then electrochemically measured. Typically, 10 μL of QDs in CHCl₃ were placed in an eppendorf tube and after total evaporation of CHCl₃, 10 μL of HClO₄ 1 M was dropped for the dissolution of the QDs. Previously, it has been reported in the literature that a concentrated acid is able to etch and dissolve Cd-based quantum dots [24,25]. Therefore, we could assume that the attack of CdSe QDs with concentrated acid is likely to follow a similar reaction to macroscopic CdSe (Eq. (1)).

\[
\text{CdSe} + 2\text{HClO}_4 \rightarrow \text{Cd}^{2+} + 2\text{ClO}_4^- + \text{H}_2\text{Se} \tag{1}
\]

After gently shaking to ensure an efficient QDs acid-attack, 10 μL of NaOH 1 M was added to neutralize the excess of acid. Then, 80 μL of 0.1 M pH 5.0 acetate buffer, containing 1.25 μg mL⁻¹ of Bi (III), was added and mixed with the previous solution. An aliquot of 40 μL of the resulting solution was added to the electrode. A constant potential of +0.40 V was applied for 60 s to activate the working electrode and a deposition potential of -1.30 V for 120 s (200 s for concentration measurements) was applied producing the reduction of Cd(II) (Eq. (2)) and Cd(0) is preconcentrated on the electrode surface.

\[
\text{Cd(II)} + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{Cd(0)} \tag{2}
\]

Then, the potential was swept from -1.30 V to -0.80 V using differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) with optimized parameters, and the reoxidation (stripping) of Cd(0) to Cd(II) was carried out, generating a current intensity (Eq. (3)). An scheme of the procedure is shown in Fig. 2A.

\[
\text{Cd(0)} \rightarrow \text{Cd(II)} + 2e^- \tag{3}
\]

3.1.2. CdSe/ZnS QDs in aqueous medium

Typically, 10 μL of aqueous QDs solution was dropped in the working electrode of a SPCE. Next, 1 μL of HCl 1 M was added to the electrode for the dissolution of QDs. Similarly to the CdSe case, for CdSe/ZnS QDs is expected that the acid attack result in the reactions described in Eq. (4) and Eq. (5):

\[
\text{CdSe} + 2\text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{Cd}^{2+} + 2\text{Cl}^- + \text{H}_2\text{Se} \tag{4}
\]

\[
\text{ZnS} + 2\text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{Zn}^{2+} + 2\text{Cl}^- + \text{H}_2\text{S} \tag{5}
\]

Then, 30 μL of 0.1 M pH 4.5 acetate buffer, containing 1.3 μg mL⁻¹ of Bi(III), was added over the previous solution. A constant potential of +0.40 V was applied for 60 s to activate the working electrode and a deposition potential of -1.10 V for 200 s was applied. Identical redox reactions occur in this case, as described in Eqs. (2) and (3). The potential was swept from -1.10 V to -0.80 V using differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) with the optimized parameters. A scheme of the procedure is shown in Fig. 2B.
3.2. Optimization of the Bi-modified screen-printed electrodes for Cd (II) detection

For the electrochemical characterization of Cd-based QDs is essential to choose a suitable electrochemical platform for Cd(II) detection. Screen-printed electrodes modified with a bismuth film were chosen as an excellent platform for the detection of Cd(II) and Cd-based QDs, as reported in the literature [26,27]. The reference technique in such works is the highly sensitive and simple anodic stripping voltammetry. Cadmium deposition on the electrode is performed on a bismuth film, enhancing the preconcentration. The optimization of the Bi film is critical to achieve a sensitive method. Therefore, the assessment of several conditions influencing the bismuth film was carried out.

Initially, the generation of the Bi film by electrodeposition on SPCE with in situ and ex situ approaches, already known in the literature [28,29], was studied. In a previous work, an improvement of the magnitude and reproducibility of the voltammetric signal of Cd(II) using these electrodes was observed after the activation of the electrode surface by applying a positive potential [30]. In this case, a potential of +0.4 V for 60 s was chosen as the activation step. Several concentrations of Bi(III), deposition potentials and deposition times were considered to obtain the best electrode surface for the detection of Cd(II) using anodic stripping differential-pulse voltammetry (ASDPV) (data not shown). In situ generation of the bismuth film, using 1 µg mL⁻¹ of Bi(III), was finally chosen as the best suitable methodology for the sensitive and reproducible analysis of cadmium.

3.3. Optimization of the experimental conditions

3.3.1. CdSe QDs in organic medium

In the here-proposed approach, it is necessary an acid digestion of the QDs to release Cd(II) into the solution prior to the electrochemical metal detection. By this way, the sensitivity is greatly enhanced compared to the direct detection of QDs and further elemental information on the nanoparticle could be obtained.

Initially, a simple digestion step carried out directly on the electrode surface after the deposition of few µL QDs (dispersed in
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*Fig. 3.* (A) Calibration plot representing the Cd(II) signal vs. the concentration of CdSe QDs in organic medium for 3, 6, 15, 30, 60, 120 (x10¹²) QDs ml⁻¹. (Inset: differential-pulse voltammograms obtained for these measurements. Experimental conditions described in Section 3.1.1.) (B) Calibration plot representing the Cd(II) signal vs. the concentration of CdSe/ZnS QDs in organic aqueous medium for 6, 12, 18, 24, 30 (x10¹²) QDs ml⁻¹. Inset: differential-pulse voltammograms obtained for these measurements. Experimental conditions described in Section 3.1.2. (C) Relation plot between Cd(II) signal vs. the volume for CdSe QDs of 2.77, 2.98, 3.34 and 3.26 nm.
CHCl₃ was carried out. However, the CHCl₃ damaged the electrode ink and the analytical results were not reproducible. Therefore, an alternative methodology for the QDs digestion, consisting in the nanoparticle acid attack outside of the electrode was carried out. The protocol followed was detailed in Section 3.1.1. The QDs sample volume chosen was 10 µL with a concentration of 100 nM because it was found to be high enough to get a very sensitive analytical signal in the working range. It is worth noting that if higher sensitivity is needed, the volume of the QDs solution may be increased so a bigger amount of nanoparticles would be available for the acid attack, after evaporation of the CHCl₃.

Several acids (H₂SO₄, HNO₃, HCl and HClO₄) and different experimental conditions were used for the optimization of QDs dissolution. The best results in terms of signal/noise ratio were obtained with 1 M HClO₄ and a volume of 10 µL (assuring that all the nanoparticles were in contact with the acid). To neutralize the excess of acid, 10 µL of NaOH 1 M was employed. This neutralization was necessary because a more acidic medium could interfere with the electrochemical detection of Cd(II), since the H⁺ reduction occurs at a close potential. Acetate buffer 0.1 M pH 5.0 (optimized conditions) was used for the measurement because it is the most used electrolytic medium for the determination of heavy metals even after their release from QDs employed as biosensing label [31]. Several parameters related to theodic stripping differential-pulse voltammetry were optimized: deposition potential (−1.3 V), amplitude (0.1 V), step (6 mV), modulation time (8 s) and interval time (1.5 s). The deposition time was chosen as a function of the latter application. In this sense, a longer deposition time of 200 s was chosen for the determination of QDs concentration, where a more sensitive method was preferred, whereas for size characterization studies, 120 s was enough.

3.3.2. CdSe/ZnS QDs in aqueous medium

For the electrochemical characterization of the core-shell CdSe/ZnS QDs in aqueous medium, several parameters were optimized such as the sample volume, the nature, volume and concentration of the acid used for the nanoparticle digestion and the detection buffer. The optimized values are listed in the Section 3.1.2. It is worth mentioning that QDs dissolution may not be complete, since the relation between the sample and acid volume is 10:1. However, this is not affecting final quantitative results. In fact, the use of a higher volume or acid concentration leads to less sensitive detection since the excess of H⁺ results in H₂ generation at the electrode surface, decreasing the deposition efficiency of the released cadmium.

4. Applications

4.1. Determination of QDs Concentration

4.1.1. CdSe QDs in organic medium

The amount of Cd(II) released after the acid attack is directly proportional to the amount of QDs in solution, as it has been previously reported [32]. In order to prepare a calibration plot, a solution of 6.0 × 10¹⁰ QDs mL⁻¹ (1.0 µM) with a nanoparticle size of 3.26 nm (determined spectrophotometrically), was diluted in CHCl₃ in order to prepare a set of working solutions with different nanoparticle concentrations. These diluted QDs organic solutions were then analysed following the general procedure. As shown in Fig. 3A, the developed method resulted in a calibration plot with high linearity in a range between 3.0 × 10¹² to 1.2 × 10¹⁴ QDs mL⁻¹ (5 nM-200 nM) [(i/µA) = 0.38 QDs mL⁻¹ (x10¹⁰) + 0.46, R² = 0.998, RSD = 5.6%, n = 3] and a calculated detection limit of 1.6 × 10⁻² QDs mL⁻¹ (2.6 nM). Considering the sample volume analysed (10 µL), the detection limit corresponds to just 1.6 × 10¹⁰ nanoparticles (27 fmol of QDs).

Data obtained show a high relationship between the concentration of CdSe QDs in solution and the voltammetric signal measured from the Cd²⁺ released after acid attack. Therefore, this method can be used satisfactorily for the determination of QDs in organic solution. Although the limit of detection achieved is significantly higher than that of the ICP-MS (18 pM), the electrochemical determination of QDs could be still useful for a wide variety of applications due to the simplicity of the procedure and lower cost (in terms of both investment and running cost) of the instrumentation employed.

4.1.2. CdSe/ZnS QDs in aqueous medium

Likewise, the concentration of core-shell CdSe/ZnS QDs in aqueous medium might be correlated to the voltammetric signal obtained after the acid attack. Using the optimized methodology described in Section 3.1.2, a calibration plot was carried out (Fig. 3B). A linear range between 6.0 × 10¹⁰ QDs mL⁻¹ (10 nM) and 3.0 × 10¹³ QDs mL⁻¹ (50 nM) was obtained [(i/µA) = 0.86 QDs mL⁻¹ (x10¹²) – 0.70, R² = 0.997, RSD = 8.8%, n = 3] and a calculated detection limit of 2.0 × 10¹² QDs mL⁻¹ (3.3 nM). This linear range, smaller in comparison to the methodology for CdSe QDs in organic medium, may be due to several reasons such as the difference between the acid and sample volume and a less effective acid attack due to the more complex surface coating (water-soluble QDs are coated with a ZnS shell and an amphiphilic polymer, based on poly-maleic anhydride functional groups). However, this methodology is much simpler and also allows the determination of very low concentrations of core-shell QDs in aqueous medium, 2.0 × 10¹² QDs mL⁻¹ (3.3 nM), that considering the sample volume analysed (10 µL), it corresponds to the detection of just 2.0 × 10¹⁰ QDs (37 fmol of QDs). Compared to previous work carried out by Merkoçi et al. [15] (1 × 10¹⁴ QDs, 0.15 nanomoles of QDs), an improvement of more than three orders of magnitude has been achieved.

4.2. Determination of QDs Size

The eventual surface-modifications of the QDs, typically used to solubilize them, increase their stability by minimizing aggregations or provide them with functional activity, can increase their actual total nanocrystal size, and affect their physicochemical properties. However, only the diameter (size) of the core of the nanoparticle affects their optoelectronic properties. However, for core-shell QDs, many often uncontrolled mixed multilayers containing Cd may appear over the nanoparticle core. That means that a variable amount of total Cd can be found in the modified particle, which does not allow establishing a direct relationship between the total amount of Cd and the sought parameter, the size of the core. For this reason, the characterization of QDs sizes was carried out using unmodified CdSe QDs in organic medium, since all the Cd measured could be related to their core and therefore, to their optoelectronic properties.

Organic working solutions containing QDs of several sizes, previously characterized by spectroscopic techniques (2.77, 2.98, 3.14 and 3.26 nm), were used for validation of the electrochemical methodology. The core size of the CdSe QDs may be correlated to the voltammetric signal obtained after the acid attack. Using the same optimized experimental procedure already employed in the experiments described in Section 4.1.1, the characteristic electrochemical signal for Cd(II) was obtained. As expected, significant differences were observed between the Cd signals obtained for each size. Since the cadmium signal is related to the QDs concentration and size, we are not able to determine unambiguously both, so being necessary to know the number of QDs before the size can be determined. Therefore, it should be mentioned that the concentration of QDs measured was always
the same for all QDs sizes. In this case, 6.0 \times 10^{13} \text{ QDs mL}^{-1} (100 \text{ nM of QDs}) was chosen. Fig. 3C shows the high linear relationship between the volume of the nanoparticle (assuming a spherical nanoparticle shape with a volume of 4/3\pi r^3) and the electrochemical signal measured (i(\mu A) = 3.68 V(nm)^{-1} - 36.6, \text{ R}^2 = 0.998, \text{ RSD} = 9.1\%, n = 6).

An important advantage of the herein proposed methodology is the versatility to determine different QDs sizes outside of the size-range tested in this work. The concentration of QDs may be changed towards higher values if it is necessary to measure smaller sizes, what provides enough Cd atoms to be detected. Conversely, QDs concentration may be changed towards lower values if the QD size is higher in order to avoid Cd signal saturation.

The developed method was finally tested for the characterization of CdSe QDs synthesized using alternative synthetic routes different. For such purpose, a solution of commercial CdSe QDs (core-type without shell) diluted to 6.0 \times 10^{13} \text{ QDs mL}^{-1} (100 \text{ nM}) with CHCl_3 was used. The absorbance spectrum for such commercial CdSe QDs provided a band centered at 560 nm, which could be employed for the estimation of their size following Peng’s method [23]. 3.30 ± 0.15 nm. A size of 3.34 ± 0.07 nm was found with the electrochemical method proposed. The high similarity of the results obtained by both methods, validates the here proposed electrochemical method.

In brief, the electrochemical method developed in this work presents some advantages or disadvantages as compared to other alternative methods already described for QDs characterization. The here-proposed method requires sample acid attack and does not provide simultaneously size and concentration information. However it is fast, simple, of relatively low cost and could be used for routine control of QDs synthesis.

Alternatively, the use of spectrophotometry measurements and Peng’s calculations is also a fast and simple approach that does not require sample treatments. However, it has several important limitations, including that it cannot be directly applied for QDs of a different core nature. Moreover, results greatly depend on spectrochemical interferences (e.g. any concomitant species eventually absorbing UV-light in the same spectral region would interfere in the final result).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) provides reliable information about the QDs particle size. However, it is not useful for routine control of samples containing QDs and it could suffer from aggregation problems during sample pretreatment particularly for high concentrated samples. In addition it should be considered the reduced availability of such instrumentation.

ICP-MS in combination with TEM or XRD has been also used for characterization of the QDs (size and concentration). The technique is highly sensitive for metal determination and it is highly robust suffering of low matrix interferences. However, acquisition and running costs are high. Moreover, it requires digestion of the QDs for reliable concentration determinations, as it occurs in the method proposed herein.

Other described methodologies provide nanoparticle concentrations in terms of mass of solid per volume (i.e. obtained after drying a given volume of colloidal samples). However, as stated in the introduction, such information is very limited and usefulness in bioconjugation or toxicological studies. Instead of that, expression of the nanoparticle concentration in terms of number of nanoparticles in a given sample volume, as carried out in the approach here proposed, is strongly needed for a proper study of toxicological or bioanalytical uses of QDs.

5. Conclusions

A simple, inexpensive and fast method has been developed for the determination of the nanoparticle concentration of Cd-based QDs and for their size characterization. The simplicity of the methodology and the use of screen-printed electrodes allow for the characterization of the metal nanoparticle by a non-specialist technician. Fast electrochemical characterization of QDs in organic medium could be used as screening at an early stage of the synthesis before introducing the shell and subsequent function- alizations. Therefore, the information provided by the electrochemical methodology could complement the more established techniques used in routine analysis during QDs synthesis for quality control of the nanoparticles. The sensitivity provided by the electrochemical technique (anodic stripping voltammetry) results in the detection of very low concentrations of QDs, and the possibility to identify a wide range of sizes.

It is worth mentioning that the developed methodology could be applied to other types of QDs with an electroactive metal in the core, extending the use of this technique to a larger scope.
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